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ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate (clinically, histologically, and histo-morphometrically) the use of composite materials (Biphasic calcium sulphate [BCS] with β Tri-Calcium Phosphate (β-TCP) and Hydroxyapatite [HA]) in extraction socket sites and compare it to un-disturbed natural healing.

Material and Methods: Prospective clinical trial of 36 patients (40 extraction sockets) were randomly assigned to either test or control group. Alveolar ridge horizontal dimension was measured in the middle of the socket at crest and 3 and 6 mm subcrestally. Crestal vertical height was measured at baseline surgery and at 4 month re-entry, at which time bone core biopsies were harvested from the center of the edentulous ridge. Histo-morphometric evaluation of the samples was performed using hematoxylin & eosin stains and morphometric software.

Results: The change in horizontal ridge width was higher in the control compared to the experimental group: 2.28 ± 2.36 mm versus 0.03 ± 2.32 mm (p = .007) at −3 mm and 2.28 ± 2.43 versus 0.035 ± 3.05 (p = .02) at −6 mm, for the experimental and control sites, respectively. The vertical distance form bone crest to neighboring horizontal line interconnecting the neighboring teeth was minimal in both groups (0.307 ± 2.01 mm versus 0.14 ± 2.03 mm [p = 0.41]). Residual scaffolds occupied 15.99 ± 11.4% of the volume in the grafted (test) sites while bone area fraction was not statistically different among the groups (47.7 ± 10.6% versus 52.6 ± 11.6%, test versus control, respectively p = .39). The percentage of connective tissue in the control group was significantly higher that test group (36.3 ± 19.4% versus 46.7 ± 10.6% test versus control, respectively, p = .013).

Conclusion: Ridge preservation technique using a combination of two synthetic bone grafts β-TCP and HA with BCS resulted in greater stability in the horizontal dimension after 4 months.
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INTRODUCTION

The ultimate goal of implant dentistry is to restore missing teeth by placing implants in anatomically, esthetically, and functional restorative positions.1 To this end, the challenge facing the dental team is associated with extraction site wound healing that is characterized by rapid bone resorption at this site.2,3 This in turn may result in esthetic and restorative challenges associated with the reduce bone volume available for implant placement. Most of the alveolar changes in extraction socket occur during the first year after tooth extraction with two thirds of the bone loss occurring on the buccal aspect. The apico-coronal bone height may be reduced by approximately 0.8 mm after 3 months of healing while after 12 months these negative changes may reach 2 mm; the changes in the horizontal dimensions are usually greater (up to 4–5 mm during the first year).4,5 Several studies which have investigated the reasons for the morphologic alternations concluded that the loss of bundle bone may be involved in these changes.6–8
To reduce the rate of the alveolar ridge dimensional changes, different grafting materials were used in fresh extraction sockets and bone volume and linear dimensional changes were tracked. Recently, Ten Haggeler and colleagues in a systematic review were able to show that the use biomaterials placed into the socket immediately after extraction contributed significantly to the preservation of the alveolar ridge volume during healing.9

Bone grafts have long been used in reconstructive surgery with the aim of increasing the bone volume in the previous defect area. Alloplastic bone graft has osteoconductive properties and is relatively safe and cost effective. Another important advantage of using synthetic materials (over autogenous bone graft) is the lower morbidity associated with the augmentation procedure and would represent an important step forward in simplifying bone regeneration techniques.

One of these alloplastic materials is calcium sulfate (CS) which has enjoyed a longer history of clinical use than most currently available biomaterials. It is well tolerated when used to fill bone defects and undergoes rapid and complete resorption. CS possesses many of the characteristics required from materials for bone regeneration and, therefore, was widely used in many regenerative procedures including periodontal regeneration, sinus augmentation, extraction socket preservation, and for bone regeneration associated with dental implant placement.10–13 The mechanisms by which CS enhances bone formation have not been completely elucidated. It has been suggested that CS particles bind to adjacent bone and then resorbed, providing a mechanism to guide and enhance new bone growth.14 Despite its many virtues, it does have some shortcomings, mainly its rapid and complete resorption which is both a virtue and vice.

A recently introduced biphasic calcium sulfate (BCS) has shown to be more stable with better handling properties than CS. BCS is an innovative granulated powder form. Once it encounters saline, the granulated powder goes through a rapid and efficient setting. This setting allows the in situ formation of a rigid structure which is highly crystalline, despite the interfering harsh environment (blood, proteins, and saliva).

Biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP), an intimate mixture of hydroxyapatite (HA) and beta-Tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP), was proposed in dentistry as reference for synthetic materials. It offers great potential for bone reconstruction since it has a chemical composition similar to that of biological bone apatites.15 BCP has already proven its efficiency as bone substitution material in different human clinical applications16–18; however, there are only few published clinical studies with long term follow-up.

In the present study, we set to examine the benefit of combining the above two alloplastic materials (BCP plus BCS) to benefit from the unique characteristic of each material and to create an optimal bone regeneration material in extraction socket. As the resorption kinetics of the two materials is different, we believed that the combination of these two materials may create a scaffold with mechanical integrity which maintains its volume while creating a unique microarchitecture with different pore sizes which may facilitate condition for blood vessels growth.

To do so, we have evaluated clinically, histologically, and histomorphometrically the use of composite allograft materials (BCS and BCP) in extraction socket sites and compare it to the natural socket healing process after tooth extraction in human.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study Population and Design**

The research was initially approved by the institutional IRB (Helsinki committee) and conducted from January 2012 to December 2013. The nature of the study was conveyed and informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to commencement.

Subjects requiring tooth extraction at the department of periodontology Rambam HCC were approached to participate in the study. Those patients that have consented to participate were enrolled into this prospective clinical trial. To be included patients had to be 18 years or older with at least one tooth scheduled for extraction and subsequently scheduled for an implant-supported restoration. Subjects were excluded if they had one or more of the followings: (i) history of systemic disease that would contraindicate oral surgical treatment; (ii) long-term nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy exceeding 100 mg daily; (iii) intravenous and oral bisphosphonate therapy; (iv) pregnant or lactating women; (v) unwillingness to return for the follow-up examination; (vi)
smokers (>10 cigarettes per day); (vii) Acute dento-

alveolar infection.

Subjects were randomly assigned to either the test
group (T) where a composite BCS/BCP was place in
the socket following extraction or to the control
group (C) in which the sockets were left to heal with
no grafting material. The randomization algorithm
accounted for tooth position, arch, and smoking sta-
tus. The operator was informed of the group alloca-
tion only after the tooth extraction.

**Surgical Procedure**

After administration of local anesthesia, a sulcular inci-
sion was performed and muco-periosteal flaps were
raised around the nonrestorable teeth. The tooth was
carefully and gently luxated using a periotom. To
ensure a-traumatic extraction, the roots of molar teeth
were separated by a fine straight bur before luxation
with a periotom. Extraction of the luxated tooth was
performed with surgical forceps to minimize the
amount of mechanical pressure applied to the buccal
bone. The extraction sockets were debrided and granu-
lation tissue was removed. Clinical measurements and
records were taken as follows: the horizontal dimen-
sion of the socket was measured with a dental caliper
(3M™ ESPETM MDI Ridge Mapping Calipers) at
three heights from the crest: 0, 3, and 6 mm. The ver-
tical distance was measured from the most coronal
part of the ridge to a reference point on neighboring
tooth (cemento-enamel junction [CEJ] or the pros-
thetic crown margins) with a standardized periodontal
probe (Williams, Hu- Friedy, Chicago IL, USA).

A 1:1 mixture of BCP (4BONE, Biomatlante ZA
les Quatre Nations, France) and BCS (BOND BONE,
MIS Implant Technologies Ltd., Israel) was used as
the grafting material in the T group. The extraction
sockets were filled and slightly condensed. The sur-
gical protocol for the control group patients was sim-
lar to the test group except that the extraction socket
was not grafted.

Next, two parallel vertical incisions were made
beyond the muco-gingival junction (MGJ); the buccal
flaps were coronally advanced to achieve primary clo-
closure and secured with the 5/0 Nylon suture material.
Patients were instructed not to brush the surgical sites
for 1 week. Systemic Amoxicillin (500 mg three times
a day for 7 days) was prescribed and subjects were
instructed to rinse with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate
mouth-rinse twice daily for 2 weeks. The patients
received ibuprofen (400 mg three times a day for the
first day) to manage postsurgical discomfort and
inflammation. Patients allergic to amoxicillin were
prescribed clindamycin 300 mg bid. Sutures were
removed 14 days postoperatively.

**Reentry Procedure and Bone Biopsy**

Four months after extraction a muco-periosteal flap
was elevated in the former socket site. The dimensions
of edentulous ridge were measured and recorded (as
described in surgical procedure section). Next, bone
core biopsies (8–10 mm length and 2 mm in diameter)
were harvested from the center of the edentulous ridge
using a trephine drill. The bone biopsy was transferred
immediately into 4% buffered formalin. Subsequently,
dental implant was inserted after final preparation of
the osteotome. Subject received the same drug pre-
scription as after the initial surgery. The bone cores
were coded and sent for analysis at the bone research
laboratory in our center.

**Histological Processing**

All biopsies were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 2
days and decalcified in 10% EDTA, (Sigma-Aldrich,
MS, USA) for 4 weeks, cut into two halves in the
midline, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (8 μm).
For determination of bone morphology, sections were
stained with Masson’s trichrome and Hematoxylin
and Eosin (H&E).

**Histomorphometric Analysis**

Histomorphometric evaluation of the samples was
performed on two nonconsecutive sections from each
specimen, under a light microscope (Zeiss Axioskop,
Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) using software (image j)
for image analysis. The following values were meas-
ured: (i) total bone area (ii) connective tissue (iii)
residual bone graft. The measurements were expressed
as percentages of the total sample area.

**Statistical Analysis**

To compare baseline and final measurement a two
tailed paired Student’s t-test was used. To compare
changes (baseline to reentry) between the T and C
groups we used un-paired student t-test.
A p value < .05 was selected to determine statistical significance with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

Thirty-six patients enrolled in this study (23 females, 13 males) contributed 40 sites of extraction. Five patients in the control group and six patients in the test group were lost during follow-up. Figure 1 describes the distribution of the extracted teeth in the T & C.

Table 1 describes the distribution of extracted teeth among the study groups: 14 premolar and molar teeth were enrolled in the test group, (6 in the maxilla and 8 in the mandible). In the control group, 12 teeth were in posterior area and three in the anterior segments (6 in the maxilla and 9 in the mandible). All the surgical procedures and consequent follow-up were conducted without any significant complication. Four months (117.194 days, 119 ± 1.32 days, test and control, respectively) after the extraction an implant was inserted.

Dimensional Ridge Changes

Horizontal and vertical changes are represented in Tables 2 and 3. At baseline, the test group had horizontal ridge width of 8.3 ± 3.3 mm, 9.5 ± 3.7 mm, 10.3 ± 3.5 mm at 0 mm, −3 mm, and −6 mm (from the crest), respectively. These values were not statistically different from the control group at baseline and were basically unchanged at the final re-entry measurements (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 Distribution of the Extracted Teeth Among the Study Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2 Horizontal Ridge Width (Mean ± SD) at Baseline and the Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant bone resorption was measured in the test group. Changes is horizontal ridge width were: 1.1 mm, 0.5 mm, and 0.1 mm at 0 mm, −3 mm, and −6 mm, respectively. Contrary, in the control group horizontal bone loss was observed amounting to 0.8, 1.8, and 2.6 mm, or these three sites. Differences in the horizontal dimensions (baseline to 4 months) were significant at all three points in the control group: At −3 bone loss was 2.28 mm for the control with negligible bone gain (0.03 mm) in the test group (p = .007). At −6 bone loss was 2.28 mm for control with negligible bone loss (0.035 mm) in test group (p = .02).

The vertical distance (bone crest to the horizontal line connecting the CEJ of the neighboring teeth) was similar among the two groups at baseline and were basically unchanged at the final re-entry measurements (Table 3).

Histologic Evaluation

**Control Sites.** All biopsies harvested from the natural healing sockets reveal vital bone formations. Concentric lamellar structures and Haversian canals were observed, suggesting the presence of mature lamellar bone (Figure 2). The trabecular spaces were filled by loose connective tissue with thin vessels (Figure 3).

**Test Sites.** Bone biopsies from ridge preservation sites did not reveal any inflammatory response or fibrous encapsulation of the alloplastic bone particles. All
sections showed bone formation adjacent to residual graft particles (Figure 4). Moreover, osteoclastic-mediated graft resorption was detected. Similar to control specimens, newly formed bone presented characteristics of mature lamellar bone.

**Histomorphometric Analysis**

The results of the histomorphometric analysis are shown in Table 4. No significant differences were found between the two groups in respect of the total bone area. However, in the control group more connective tissue was noticed. (46.7% ± 10.6 versus 36.3% ± 19.4, \( p = .03 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present randomized control clinical study, the use of this composite alloplastic material for ridge preservation reduced post extraction bone resorption and allowed new bone formation without causing significant inflammatory response.

Previous studies investigated soft and hard tissue dimensional changes following ridge preservation using various bone grafts and bone substitutes including: allografts, xenografts, and autologous bone. Nevertheless, choosing the ideal grafting material is still a challenging task. An ideal bone substitute should be biocompatible, osteoconductive, and osteoinductive, easy to shape and manipulate safe and bioresorbable. Biphasic calcium-phosphates meets all these criteria: its structure and chemical composition is very similar to that of hydroxy appetite; it is biocompatible, osteoconductive and possesses osteoinductive properties; it possesses appropriate porosity for vascular invasion and nutrient diffusion from surrounding tissue and has surface chemistry to allows cells to adhere and express osteogenic phenotype. It is characterized appropriate mechanical properties; it is synthetic and cost effective, is able to form a suitable shape easily, and ultimately replaces the bone within a short period. The addition of BCS improves the

**TABLE 4 Histomorphometric Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total Bone Area</th>
<th>Connective Tissue</th>
<th>Residual Graft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>47.7 ± 10.6</td>
<td>36.3 ± 19.4</td>
<td>15.99 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>52.6 ± 11.6</td>
<td>46.7 ± 10.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-Value</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
handling characteristics of BCP by acting as a binder between HA and TCP particles. Furthermore, the rapid resorption of BCP leaves porosity that would enhance the ingrowth of bone.\textsuperscript{24}

A recently published meta-analysis evaluated bone dimensional changes following ridge preservation procedures and the influence of several variables of interest on the outcomes of ridge preservation therapy.\textsuperscript{25} They found that alveolar ridge preservation is effective in limiting physiologic ridge reduction as compared with tooth extraction alone. The clinical magnitude of the effect was 1.89 mm in terms of bucco-lingual width. A recent systematic review conducted by Horowitz and colleagues demonstrated that there appears to be supporting ridge preservation techniques as a whole; without significant difference among various grafting materials.\textsuperscript{26} To the contrary, other studies did not find advantage in terms of bone fill and bone composition following ridge preservation technique in comparison to natural bone healing. They concluded that the bone graft materials only served as a scaffold and did not stimulate new bone formation.\textsuperscript{27,28} In accordance with these results, in the present study extraction sites that were filled with BCP/BCS showed no reduction in bucco-lingual width compared with 2.28 ± 2.36 mm in the control (extraction alone) sites. While most of the data concerning alveolar ridge dimensional changes is based on teeth in the nonmolar region,\textsuperscript{2,3} in the present study, 14/14 sockets in the test group, and 12/15 socket in the control group were in the premolar and molar sites. This fact may influence the magnitude of bone loss. The buccal plate in the anterior region is usually thin\textsuperscript{27} thus ridge alterations in the alveolar dimension are more likely to occur in these sites.\textsuperscript{29,30}

According to Avila-Ortiz and colleagues, vertical ridge changes of 1.18 to 2.07 mm are to be expected in midbuccal and midlingual height, and 0.24 to 0.48 mm for mesial and distal height changes. Indeed, vertical measurements in the current study were taken in the midbuccal and midlingual aspects using the neighboring tooth CEJ as reference. Our results showed only minimal changes in vertical bone height in both the control and test groups. These results can be attributed to the presence of bone and PDL in adjacent tooth that is responsible for maintaining blood supply to the alveolar bone thus helping to preserve bone height at these sites. Moreover, the negligible vertical bone loss we found in both groups can be accredited to the surgical procedure we performed. In all extraction sites (test and control groups), two parallel vertical incisions were made beyond the MGJ and the buccal flaps were coronally advanced to achieve primary closure. A recent meta-analysis conducted by Vignoletti and colleagues showed that extraction procedure involved flap elevation had significantly less horizontal bone loss when compared to flapless extraction.\textsuperscript{31} The authors explained this finding by the primary intention healing which achieved by the flap reflection. Still most of the clinicians to date do not attempt to achieve primary closure due to conflicting studies and concern about chair time, healing time and morbidity.\textsuperscript{32}

This study has some limitations in terms of the relatively small sample size and patient drop out during follow-up. Moreover, the study could have benefited from an additional two control groups: BCS and BCP separately. Although the harvesting of bone sample with trephine is a common method, it may affect the histologic specimens near the samples’ borders. Therefore, for the purpose of this study only used sections from the middle of the core biopsy.

Evaluating the nature of healing following ridge preservation procedures demands harvesting a core biopsy and histological analysis. In the present study, mature lamellar bone was observed in both test and control specimens. Characteristics of vital bone including adequate neovascularization as well as osteocytes within the lacunae were found in all samples. Active bone remodeling was detected by the presence of osteoclasts and reversing lines. Importantly, inflammatory signs were not found. Percentage of bone fill, residual scaffold and connective tissue differ among studies and depend on various parameters: surgical procedure, material that was used to fill the socket and healing period. Toloue and colleagues compared clinically and histology bone healing following ridge preservation using CS and freeze-dried bone allograft (FDBA).\textsuperscript{33} Histological analysis (3 months following ridge preservation) revealed an average of 32% new bone formation with 2.5% graft remaining for the CS group and 16.7% new bone formation with 21% of the graft remaining for the FDBA. Moreover, clinical measurements found nonsignificant changes in vertical dimensions and similar bucco-lingual bone resorption in both groups. Additional study by Kumari and
colleagues, compared clinically and histologically nano-crystalline CS bone grafts and medical-grade CS bone grafts in human extraction sockets. Higher percentage of new bone formation was reported (~50%) and residual graft was approximately half compared to our finding (~7%).

CONCLUSION

The results of this prospective clinical trial show that ridge preservation technique using a combination of two synthetic bone grafts (β-TCP and Hydroxyapatite HA with BCS) is superior to natural healing process in terms of horizontal dimensional changes after 4 months. Moreover, according to histological analysis, percentage of residual graft was relatively small without evidence for inflammatory response or graft encapsulation.
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